Alex H. Herrera, Esq. (SBN 284712) HESS, HESS & HERRERA, P.C. 468 North Camden Drive, Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Tel: 213.373.1119 213.403.5143 Fax: alexhherrera@me.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, FRANK SIVERO

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

OCT 2 1 2014

Sherri R, Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT - UNLIMITED

FRANK SIVERO, an Individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FOX TELEVISION STUDIOS, INC., a California corporation, 21st CENTURY FOX AMERICA, INC., a New York Corporation, and DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

BC 5 6 1 2 0 0 Case No:

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR:

- 1. COMMON LAW INFRINGEMENT OF RIGHT OF PUBLICITY
- 2. MISAPPROPRIATION OF NAME AND/OR LIKENESS:
- 3. MISAPPROPRIATION OF IDEAS;
- 4. INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE **ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE**
- 5. UNJUST ENRICHMENT.

Plaintiff, FRANK SIVERO, an individual, by and through counsel, for his Complaint against Defendants, pleads as follows:

I.

PARTIES AND GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

PLAINTIFF

resident of the City of Los Angeles, State of California (hereinafter referred to 49 "Plaintiff"). Plaintiff SIVERO is a professional actor, and has had a long and successful career as such. SIVERO is an American character actor, best known for playing the roles of Genco Abbandando is Mario Puzo's and Francis Ford Coppola's The Godfather Part II and Frankie Carbone (based on Angelo Sepe) in Martin Scorsese's Goodfellas. SIVERO'S characters in these movies are the basis Page 1 of 13 Sivero v. Fox - VERIFED COMPLAINT

1

6

7

9

12

13

10

28

for the character "Louie" who is a cartoon character on the television series The Simpsons, SIVERO is the originator of the idea and character of Louie (Further discussed below).

В. **DEFENDANTS**

- 2. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant FOX TELEVISION STUDIOS, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "FOX") was and is at all times relevant herein a California entity, doing business in California, with a business address at 10201 W. Pico Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90035, and at all times relevant herein, a citizen now and then of the State of California.
- 3. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant 21ST CENTURY FOX AMERICA (hereinafter referred to as "21ST CENTURY") was and is at all times relevant herein a California entity, doing business in California, with a business address at located at 1211 Ave. of the Americas, New York, NY 10036, and at all times relevant herein, a citizen now and then of the State of California.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that individual MATTHEW ABRAM GROENING is an American cartoonist, screenwriter, producer, animator, author, musician, comedian, and voice actor. Defendant GROENING is the creator of the co-creator of a successful television series, The Simpsons, which originally aired in 1989 to the present. Defendant GROENING has won 12 Primetime Emmy Awards for this television series.
- The true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, 5. inclusive, whether corporate, associate or otherwise are unknown to Plaintiff at this time and Plaintiff, therefore, sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that said fictitiously named Defendants are in some manner responsible for the events and happenings alleged herein.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant 6. herein, each Defendant was acting for his or its own personal account and as an agent, servant, employee, employer, trustee, trustor, representative, fiduciary, partner, co-venturer, officer, director, stockholder, principal or co-conspirator of each of the other Defendants, and as such was acting within the scope, course, and purpose of such authority, service, agency, fiduciary capacity, special trust partnership, employment or conspiracy.

_; 26

2014-00033 Case# •

Dept./Div

7. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant induced, collaborated, agreed with, conspired or otherwise participated in the events constituting this Complaint; and thus, is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings, and proximately caused the injuries and damages as herein alleged.

C. <u>FACTS</u>

- 1. In or around 1989, SIVERO was living in an apartment complex located in Sherman Oaks, CA.
- 2. The writers of THE SIMPSONS (discussed below) also lived in this same complex during the same period of time as SIVERO; SIVERO in unit 210, and the writers in 209. During this time, both writers knew who SIVERO was, and they saw each other almost every day. They knew he was developing the character he was to play in the movie *Goodfellas*, a movie SIVERO did in 1989. In fact, they were aware the entire character of "Frankie Carbone" was created and developed by SIVERO, who based this character on his own personality.
- 3. THE SIMPSONS' Producer James L. Brooks was highly aware of who SIVERO was, the fact that he created the role of Frankie Carbone, and that THE SIMPSONS character Louie would be based on this character. It was in fact SIVERO who developed the character based on his own. (i.e., SIVERO was not restricted to a script.)
- 4. Goodfellas was released in 1990, and performed well at the box office, grossing \$46.8 million domestically, well above its \$25 million budget. It also received positive reviews from critics. The film was nominated for six Academy Awards, including Best Picture and Best Director, and won the Best Actor in a Supporting Role category. Scorsese's film won five awards from the British Academy of Film and Television Arts, including Best Film, and Best Director. The film was named Best Film of the year by various film critics groups. Goodfellas is often considered one of the greatest films of all time, both in the crime genre and in general, and was deemed "culturally significant" and selected for preservation in the National Film Registry by the United States Library of Congress,
- Just one year later, THE SIMPSONS went on to base one of their "Wise Guy" characters on the character Frankie Carbone, a character played and developed by SIVERO.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 7. Over the years, THE SIMPSONS were known for basing characters on the television series on those of real life people and real life characters.
- 8. The character "Louie", a mafiosa and second in command to Springfield mob boss "Fat Tony", from THE SIMPSONS is based largely on SIVERO, especially as he appeared in Goodfellas, a movie based on wise guys that was released in 1990.
- 9. Louie's appearance and mannerisms are strongly evocative of character actor Frank Sivero, who has played gangster roles in films such as Goodfellas and The Godfather Part II. Also, according to Dan Castellaneta, he modeled his voice after Italian American actor, Joe Pesci, who also had a role in Goodfellas.
- LOUIE. Louie is a member of the Springfield Mafia. He accompanies Fat Tony and Legs. The three are referred to as "Wise Guys". He has curly black hair. Louie is the "muscle", as well as Legs. Fat Tony is his boss and he always listens to him, doing whatever he's told. He isn't afraid to kill or wound anyone who gets in his way. LOUIE first appeared in the THE SIMPSONS in the episode called "Bart the Murderer." Bart the Murderer" is the fourth episode of THE SIMPSONS Season 3, which first aired on October 10, 1991. LOUIE went on to appear on 15 additional episodes1 of THE SIMPSONS, the last of which, ("What to Expect When Bart's Expecting") aired on April 27, 2014.
- THE SIMPSONS was also made into a movie. THE SIMPSONS Movie is the only 11. feature length film adaptation of THE SIMPSONS. It was produced by Gracie Films for 20th Century Fox with animation produced by Film Roman and Rough Draft Studios and was released worldwide July 27, 2007.

Dept./Div

N. (\cdot)

[&]quot;Homie the Clown", "A Fish Called Selma", "Trilogy of Error", "Insane Clown Poppy", "Mayored to the Mob", "The Twisted World of Marge Simpson", "The Great Louse Detective", "Mr. Spritz Goes to Washington", "The Mook, the Chef, the Wife and Her Homer", "Midnight Towboy", "Waverly Hills 9-0-2-1-D'oh", "Chief of Hearts", "Donnie Fatso", "A Midsummer's Nice Dream", "What to Expect When Bart's Expecting"

2014-00033

Caşe#

Dept./Div

- 12. THE SIMPSONS was also made into a video game: The Simpsons: Hit and Run is an award-winning video game based on THE SIMPSONS. It was released for the PlayStation 2, Xbox, GameCube and Microsoft Windows in North America on September 16, 2003, in Europe on October 31, 2003 and in Japan on December 25, 2003. It was developed by Radical Entertainment and was published by Vivendi Universal. It is cited by video game critics and fans as the best Simpsons video game ever made. The game follows the Simpson family and the citizens of Springfield, who witness many strange incidents that occur in Springfield. Mysterious Black Vans are lurking around, Mysterious Wasp Cameras are spying on people's privacy and a mysterious new cola has popped up. It is up to Homer and co. to solve this mystery and return Springfield to its original state. Louie appears on Level 28.
- Out is a mobile app from EA that is available for iOS and Android devices. It features characters, buildings, and other significant elements of THE SIMPSONS. The app was first released for iOS in the US on March 1, 2012 and in the UK on 29 February 2012. Due to server errors, the game was removed from the App Store in April and disabled in June. In August 2012, the app was rereleased. The Android version became available on Google Play in February 2013. In October 2012 the app got a Halloween update based on Treehouse of Horror. In November 2012 the app received a Thanksgiving update. In December, the app received a Christmas update in which the Springfield landscape was covered in snow. In February 2013, the app received a Valentine's Day update in which players received "hearts" from friends and using them to purchase limited-time Valentine's decorations, including the *I Choo Choo Chose You Train*. In March 2013, the app got a St. Patrick's update, and all the water in Springfield has turned green.
- 14. To date, THE SIMPSONS has grossed over 12 billion dollars. THE SIMPSONS continues to be broadcast on television worldwide, and has created a market for various products, including memorabilia, apparel, music, videos, internet streaming services, DVD's toys, games, and a variety of Simpsons related items (e.g. roller-coaster rides, lunch boxes, etc.)

III

(i)

- 15. In fact, in or around the beginning or middle of 2014, FOX entered into a deal with television network FXX, wherein FXX was given a license to air every single episode on their network; the episodes first aired in or around August 2014.
- 16. THE SIMPSONS' continued use of SIVERO'S image and likeness for commercial purposes are all done without SIVERO'S consent and without compensating SIVERO.
- 17. Over the years, SIVERO was told by Gracie Films that, "he [SIVERO] would be part of the future" in connection to the success of THE SIMPSONS. He was promised that they would make a film together; but it never happened. On one occasion, at a party in or around 1995/96, SIVERO had another conversation with Mr. Brooks where SIVERO stated, "It's about time we do something together." Mr. Brooks said yes, but this again never materialized.
- 18. SIVERO believes that Gracie Films never intended to make a film with SIVERO, and that they were simply studying him further for the character Louie.

<u>II.</u>

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 19. Defendant FOX is a California corporation with its principal place of business in California.
 - 20. Defendant 21st CENTURY has minimum contacts with the state of California.
 - 21. Personal Jurisdiction, etc.

н
1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

22

23 24

25

2014-0003 ase#

Case# Dept./Div.

III.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

COMMON-LAW INFRINGMENT OF RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

(Against ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 22. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and subsequent Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendants have infringed upon and misappropriated Plaintiff's name and likeness in the promotion of THE SIMPSONS franchise, thereby causing injury to plaintiff.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's right to his own publicity, as described herein, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe financial damages in the form of lost income Plaintiff should have received in compensation for his name and likeness being used in the manner described herein.
- 25. Defendants' infringement and misappropriation of plaintiff's name and likeness was intentional, deliberate, wilful and/or in reckless disregard of the injuries they would cause to the plaintiff.

COUNT II

MISAPPROPRIATION OF LIKENESS

VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S RIGHT OF PUBLICITY

(Against ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 26. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and subsequent Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendants have used, and continue to use, in violation of Cal.Civ.Code § 3344, the name and likeness of plaintiff in the promotion of THE SIMPSONS Franchise, in order to enhance the sales of products associated therewith, and in order to enhance Defendants' own image.

Case#

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- 28. Defendants' misappropriation of plaintiff's name and likeness provides defendant with a commercial advantage by increasing the appeal and sales of products associated with defendant.
- 29. Defendants have never secured the consent of Plaintiff, either in writing or orally, to use plaintiff's name in likeness in the manner described herein, nor in any manner whatsoever.
- 30. Defendants were at all times relative hereto aware that the use of Plaintiff's name or likeness as alleged herein was not authorized by Plaintiff.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of Plaintiff's right to his own publicity, as described herein, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe financial damages in the form of lost income Plaintiff should have received in compensation for his name and likeness being used in the manner described herein.

COUNT III

MISAPPROPRIATION OF IDEAS

(Against ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 32. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and subsequent Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Plaintiff is the originator of the idea, namely the character of Frankie Cordon, who THE SIMPSONS character LOUIE was based on.
- 34. Plaintiff prepared the idea by means of creating and developing the character for a role in the movie *Goodfellas*.
 - 35. That on or about 1990, Plaintiff disclosed the idea to the Defendants.
- 36. That on or about 1990, Defendants voluntarily accepted the disclosure of the idea, knowing the conditions on which the idea was tendered.
- Said acts of Defendants constitute Misappropriation of Ideas and Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract.

2014-00033 se#

1,

COUNT IV

INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

(Against ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 38. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and subsequent Causes of Action in this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. During the time of Defendants' misappropriation, Plaintiff stood to benefit, as an imminently recognizable character actor, from the licensing or other authorized use of his name or likeness.
- 40. Plaintiff intended to, and would have been able to, capitalize on his name, likeness, and persona through licensing transactions with other media productions, further development and monetization of the character he created through other productions, and/or development of alternative characters to be sold and performed in other media productions.
- 41. Plaintiff has been denied this prospective economic advantage due to Defendants' infringement and misappropriation of Plaintiff's name and likeness. Defendants' infringement and misappropriation of Plaintiff's name and likeness reduced the likelihood of Plaintiff profiting from the use of his character in another production, diluted the value of the character created by plaintiff, and contributed to the "type-casting" of Plaintiff (whereby Plaintiff's future prospects for acting roles became limited to those exhibiting the character traits he portrayed in the past.)
- 42. Defendants knew or should have known of Plaintiff's prospective economic advantage in his own likeness, and acted intentionally, wilfully and/or with reckless disregard to Plaintiff's prospective economic advantage.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' interference with Plaintiff's prospective economic advantage, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

III

-111

8

5

13

11

16

ħ.i ()

26

COUNT V

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

(Against ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 44. Plaintiff re-alleges and reincorporates each and every allegation contained in all previous paragraphs of all previous sections and Causes of Action this Complaint, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. As alleged hereinabove, Defendant has misappropriated Plaintiff's name and likeness, without plaintiff's consent, and without compensating plaintiff, and has profited from such misconduct through the added sales appeal of Plaintiff's name lends to defendant and THE SIMPSONS Franchise.
- 46. As a result of such misconduct, defendant has been unjustly enriched, and is in possession of money that in good conscience and justice belongs to plaintiff.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's acts of misappropriation and unjust enrichment, plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of profits defendant has earned from increased sales of products associated with THE SIMPSONS Franchise, a portion of which profits should be disgorged to plaintiff.

ALLEGATION OF DAMAGE

(Inadequate Remedy at Law)

Defendant threatens to continue to do the acts complained of herein, and unless 48. restrained and enjoined, will continue to do so, all to plaintiff's irreparable damage. It would be difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation which could afford plaintiff adequate relief for such continuing acts, and a multiplicity of judicial proceedings would be required. Plaintiff's remedy at law is not adequate to compensate it for injuries threatened.

111 111

III

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22 23

24

fees.

D 25D 26

⊬⁴ ‡3 27

28 2014-00033

2014-00033 ase# .

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- 49. (Injunctive Relief for Infringement Under California Law)
- 50. That this Court grant an injunction pursuant to the power granted it under CAL. CIV. CODE §3426.2(a), enjoining and restraining Defendants and their agents, servants, and employees from directly or indirectly using Plaintiff's confidential idea.
- 51. That Defendants be required to account to Plaintiff for any and all profits derived by Defendants from the use of Plaintiff's confidential idea.
- 52. That this Court award damages for actual loss against Defendants in for of Plaintiff in the sum of \$50,000,000.00 by reason of Defendants' improper infringement and misappropriation of Plaintiff's name and likeness.
- 53. That this Court award damages for actual loss against Defendants in for of Plaintiff in the sum of \$100,000,000.00 by reason of Defendants' improper interference with Plaintiff's prospective economic advantage.
- 54. That this Court award damages for actual loss against Defendants in favor of Plaintiff in the sum of \$50,000,000.00 by reason of Defendants' improper appropriation of Plaintiff's confidential idea.
 - 55. That this Court award Exemplary Damages under CAL. CIV. CODE §3426.3(c)
- 56. That this Court award exemplary damages against Defendants and in favor of plaintiff in the sum of \$50,000,000.00 by reason of Defendants' improper appropriation of Plaintiff's confidential idea.
 - 57. That costs of this action be awarded Plaintiff.
 - 58. That this is an exceptional case and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorney
 - 59. That this Court grant such other and further relief as it shall deem just.

///

///

	1	DATED: October 15, 2014		Respectfully Submitted,	
	2			HERRERA & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
	3				·
	4		Ву:	- Abestrum	
	5			Alex H. Herrera, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff, FRANK SIVERO	
	6			FRANK SIVERO	
				•	
	7 8				
	9				Į.
	10				
	11				
	12	•			
	13				
	14				
	15				
1 A 1 0 N 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16				
7. S.	17				
田	18				
K H A W C 0, Beve f. 213	19			•	
SS (20				
HE Salon 3.373.1	21				
SS, of Es	22				
HESS.	23				
ļ-,÷	24			•	
N)	25			••	
©) Hå	26				
	27				
	28				
2014- Case# Dept./Div.		Sivero v. Fox - <u>VERIFED</u> COMP	LAINT		Page 12 of 13
•					
		<u></u>			

VERIFICATION

I am a party to this action, and I have read the foregoing VERIFIED COMPLAINT and know its contents. The matters stated in the VERIFIED COMPLAINT are true based on my own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 17, 2014, at Los Angeles, CA.

FRANK SIVERO

HESS, HESS & HERRERA

A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

C 7 7 2468/N. Canden Dr. Ste. 200, Beverly Hills, CA 90210

Sivero v. Fox - VERIFED COMPLAINT

Page 13 of 13

	CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sete Bar number, and address): Alex H. Hertera, Esq. (S.B.N. 284712) HESS, HESS & HERRERA, P.C. 468 North Carnden Drive, Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 TELEPHONE NO.: 213-373-1119 FAX NO.: 213-403-5143 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): FRANK SIVERO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hills St. MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, 90012 BRANCH NAME: Central District	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles OCT 2 1 2014 Shem R. Carter Exceptive Officer/Clerk By
CASE NAME:	
Frank Sivero v. Fox Television Studios, Inc., et al	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) Complex Case Designation Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal, Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE: DEPT:
Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on pa	ge 2).
Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:	
Auto (22) Auto (22) Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Cal. 1 Cal. 1 Rule 3.740 collections (09) Cher PIPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Product liability (24) Real Property Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Wrongful eviction (33) Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Unlewful Detainer Civil rights (08) Unlewful Detainer Defamation (13) Residential (32) Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) V Professional negligence (25) Judicial Review Miscondemnation (36) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02) Other employment (15) Other judicial review (38)	sionally Complex Civil Litigation Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) reament of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20) ellaneous Civil Complaint RIÇO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) ellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, c. Substantial amount of documentary avidence f. Substantial postion 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. \(\times \) monetary b. \(\times \) nonmonetary; decided the cases of action (specify): FIVE (5) 5. This case \(\times \) is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may	witnesses related actions pending in one or more courts states, or countries, or in a federal court adgment judicial supervision aratory or injunctive relief C. punitive
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (e.g., in sanctions. Pile this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must cover sheet by the action or proceeding.	ust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under rule 3,740 or a complex case, this cover sheet to the cover she	will be used for statistical purposes only.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.90, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740; Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, act, 3.10 www.courtinio.ce.gov



To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be cartain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Contract
  Auto Tort
        Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
             Damage/Wrongful Death
        Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the
             case involves an uninsured
             motorist claim subject to
             arbitration, check this item
             instead of Auto)
  Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
  Property Demage/Wrongful Death)
        Asbestos (04)
             Asbestos Property Damage
             Asbestos Personal Injury/
                   Wrongful Death
         Product Liability (not asbestos or
             toxic/environmental) (24)
         Medical Malpractice (45)
             Medical Malpractice-
                   Physicians & Surgeons
              Other Professional Health Care
                   Malpractice
         Other PI/PD/WD (23)
              Premises Liability (e.g., slip
                   and fall)
              Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
              (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional infliction of
                    Emotional Distress
              Negligent Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
Other RI/PD/WD
    Non-PI/PD/VVD (Other) Tort
          Business Tort/Unfair Business
          Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)
ು
1...
          Fraud (16)
          Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice
               Other Professional Malpractice
N
           (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (95)
€).
    Employment
```

```
CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
                                                        Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.
                                                        Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
   Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
                                                               Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
       Breach of Rental/Lease
                                                               Construction Defect (10)
            Contract (not unlawful detainer
                                                               Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
                or wrongful eviction)
                                                               Securitles Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
        Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
            Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
        Negligent Breach of Contract/
                                                               Insurance Coverage Claims
                                                                   (arising from provisionally complex
        Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
                                                                   case type listed above) (41)
   Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)
                                                          Enforcement of Judgment
                                                               Enforcement of Judgment (20)
                                                                   Abstract of Judgment (Out of
        Collection Case Seller Plaintiff
        Other Promissory Note/Collections
                                                                   Confession of Judgment (non-
   Case
Insurance Coverage (not provisionally
                                                                        domestic relations)
        complex) (18)
                                                                   Sister State Judgment
                                                                   Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
        Auto Subrogation
        Other Coverage
                                                                    Petition/Certification of Entry of
    Other Contract (37)
                                                                       Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
        Contractual Fraud
                                                                    Other Enforcement of Judgment Case
        Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
                                                           Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
    Eminent Domain/Inverse
                                                                RICO (27)
         Condemnation (14)
                                                                Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
    Wrongful Eviction (33)
    Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (25)
Writ of Possession of Real Property
                                                                    Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-
harasament)
         Mortgage Foresiosura
         Quiet Title
                                                                     Mechanics Llan
         Other Real Property (not eminent
                                                                     Other Commercial Complaint
         domain, landlerd/tenent, or
                                                                         Case (non-tort/non-complex)
         foreclosure)
                                                                     Other Civil Complaint (non-tertinon-complex)
Unlewful Detainer
     Commercial (31)
                                                            Miscellaneous Civil Petition
     Residential (32)
                                                                 Partnership and Corporate
     Drugs (36) (if the case involves illegal
                                                                     Governance (21)
                                                                 Other Petition (not specified
          drugs, check this item; otherwise
          report as Commercial or Residential)
                                                                     above) (43)
Civii Harassment
 Judicial Review
     Asset Forfeiture (05)
                                                                      Workplace Violence
     Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
                                                                     Eider/Dependent Adult
     Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
                                                                          Abuse
                                                                     Election Contest
          Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court
                                                                      Petition for Name Change
              Case Matter
                                                                      Petition for Relief From Late
          Writ-Other Limited Court Gase
                                                                      Other Civil Petition
              Review
     Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order
          Notice of Appeal-Labor
```

بدسم

43

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

SHORT TITLE:	ÇASE NUMBER
Frank Sivero v. Fox Television Studios, Inc., et al	

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROLINDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE & OCATION)

(OPICITION TO OTO OTO OTO ADDIONALITY TO GOOD (THOUGH EGONTION)
This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
tem I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:
JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 10-15 HOURS! DAYS
Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in
the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected,
Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District.
 May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Proporty Damage).
 Location where cause of action grose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 Location where petitioner resides.
 Location wherein defendant/respondent functions whelly.
 Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III: complete Item IV. Sign the declaration

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action	B (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100	Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110	Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)		Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7280	Product Liability (not aspestos or toxio/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Matpractice (45)	☐ A7210 ☐ A7240	Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	☐ A7230		1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
Business Tort (07)	☑ A6029	Other Commercial/Business Tert (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005	Civil Rights/Discrimination	1,, 2,, 3.
Defamation (13)	☐ A6010	Defemation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013	Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.

TOther Personal Injury/Property "Damage/Wrongful Death Tort Non-Personal Injury/Property. Demage/Wrongful Death Tork

Auto Tort

Mon-Personal frienty/Property Damage/	Wrongfut Death Tort (Cont'd.)
,	Employment
	Contract
	Employment woondril De

``	Detain
ì	Ž
	5
ز. ("	a Review
.,. i	2. 2. 2. 3.
B.	

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Frank Sivero v. Fox Television Studios, Inc., et al	

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	E) Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Respons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Nagligence (25)	A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage (ort	2., 3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6924 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3, 10.
Breach of Contract/ Wervanty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful exiction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Gontract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5, 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortique Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 6. 1., 2., 3., 6. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminant Demain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (\$3)	C A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, lendlord/tenent, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	A8021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not druge or wrongful eviction)	2. , 6 ,
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2,, 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlswful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfellure (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 2 of 4

SHORT TITLE;	GASE NUMBER
Frank Sivero v. Fox Television Studios, Inc., et al	

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Abeve
Judicial Review (Cont'd.)		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mendamus	2., 8.
	Writ of Mandate	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
	(02)	☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Jud cla Review	2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Çase	1., 2., 8.
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Cleims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A5014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Enforcement of Judgment	☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
_		A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
Jen		☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
ug p	(20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
of Judgment		A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
ō		☐ A8112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
ţ		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
Complaints	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Ē	(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
Misc	(42)	A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	☐ A6121 Civil Herassment	2., 3., 9.
		☐ A5123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
ì		☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
Hispeliangous Civil Petitions	Other Patitions (Not Specified Above)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.
	1	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
	(43)	☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
		A6100 Other Civil Petition	2.,9.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASÇ Approved 03-04

	CASE NUMBER	
SHORTTINE: Frank Sivero v. Fox Television Studios, Inc., et al		

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUI WHICH APPLIES			AODRESS: 10201 W. Pico Blvd.
1. 2. 23. 04. 25. 0	6. 🗆 7. 💢 8	i. □9. □10.	
CITY; Los Angeles	STATE: CA	ZIR CODE: 90035	

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Prog., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0, aubds. (b), (c) and (d)).

Dated: October 21, 2014

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

1: